

REMARKS

Applicant and the undersigned would like to thank the Examiner for his helpfulness, professionalism and courtesy in the telephone interview of July 7, 2005.

Pursuant to the agreement of that interview, Applicant is canceling claims 28-36 without prejudice. The cancellation of claims 28-36 renders moot the restriction requirement.

In the Office Action of April 21, 2005, the Examiner has stated that claims 7-27 are allowed.

Applicant has amended previously presented claim 7 to cure a typo in the eighth (8th) paragraph. Specifically, in the first line of that paragraph, the word "of" was added after "plurality".

Applicant has amended previously presented claim 27 to cure a typo in the eleventh (11th) paragraph. Specifically, in the fourth line of that paragraph, the word "of" was added after "plurality".

Applicant has amended previously presented claim 14 so that it now depends from claim 7.

Applicant has amended previously presented claim 20 to cure a typographical error. Specifically, in the fourth line, the word "dice" was added after "of".

Applicant is presenting new claims 37-45. In the

aforementioned telephone interview, the Examiner has stated that new claims 37-45 would not be subject to restriction. (In the proposed claims submitted to the Examiner prior to the telephone conference, there were claims 37-46. However, proposed claim 46 has been deleted).

Support for new claim 37 is found in the instant specification at column 9, lines 15-67, column 10, lines 1-64, column 11, lines 1-64, and Figures 1, 2 and 3, and in allowed claim 7 which was filed as part of this reissue.

Support for new claim 38 is found in the instant specification at column 9, lines 20-29, column 10, lines 24-30, column 12, lines 4-7, and Figures 1 and 3, and in allowed claim 8 which was filed as part of this reissue.

Support for new claim 39 is found in the instant specification at is found in the instant specification at column 7, lines 20-43, and in allowed claim 16 which was filed as part of this reissue.

Support for new claim 40 is found in the instant specification at column 7, lines 20-43, and in allowed claim 17 which was filed as part of this reissue.

Support for new claim 41 is found in the instant specification at column 7, lines 20-43, and in allowed claim 18 which was filed as part of this reissue.

Support for new claim 42 is found in the instant specification at column 7, lines 20-43, and in allowed claim 19 which was filed as part of this reissue.

Support for new claim 43 is found in the instant specification at column 7, lines 20-43, and in allowed claim 20 which was filed as part of this reissue.

Support for new claim 44 is found in the instant specification at column 7, lines 20-43, and in allowed claim 21 which was filed as part of this reissue.

Support for new claim 45 is found in the instant specification at column 7, lines 20-43, and in allowed claim 23 which was filed as part of this reissue.

None of the prior art references of record disclose, teach or suggest the particular combination of method steps recited in new claim 37. Thus, Applicant submits that independent claim 37 is patentable over the prior art of record. Applicant submits that dependent claims 38-45 are also patentable as they are dependent, either directly or indirectly, from independent claim 37.

Thus, Applicant submits that new claims 37-45 are patentable over the cited references of record and should be allowable. Applicant will surrender the original patent upon the issuance of a Notice of Allowance for this reissue


application.

There is no fee due for this amendment.

The Examiner is urged to call the undersigned at 203-467-7895 for a telephone conference if the Examiner believes there are outstanding issues regarding this amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

July 21, 2005

By: 
Raymond A. Nuzzo
Attorney of Record
Reg. No. 37,199